

Experience feedback using Representation Learning for Few-Shot Object Detection on Aerial Images

Pierre Le Jeune L2TI (UR 3043), USPN¹ & COSE Anissa Mokraoui L2TI (UR 3043), USPN¹ Mustapha Lebbah LIPN (UR 7030), USPN¹ Hanene Azzag LIPN (UR 7030) USPN¹



20th IEEE International Conference for Machine Learning and Applications December 13, 2021

¹Université Sorbonne Paris Nord







- 1. Definition of Few-Shot Object Detection
- 2. Related work
- 3. Proposed Prototypical Faster R-CNN
- 4. Experimental Results
- 5. Analysis and Conclusion

Given support examples $\{(x_1, a_1), \ldots, (x_{nk}, a_{nk})\}$ it consists in detecting all occurrences of classes in C(|C| = n) in a query image x_q .

Given support examples $\{(x_1, a_1), \ldots, (x_{nk}, a_{nk})\}$ it consists in detecting all occurrences of classes in C(|C| = n) in a query image x_q .



Query image

Given support examples $\{(x_1, a_1), \dots, (x_{nk}, a_{nk})\}$ it consists in detecting all occurrences of classes in $\mathcal{C}(|\mathcal{C}| = n)$ in a query image x_q .



Query image



Support examples

ICMLA 2021 - Experience feedback using Representation Learning for FSOD on aerial images

Given support examples $\{(x_1, a_1), \dots, (x_{nk}, a_{nk})\}$ it consists in detecting all occurrences of classes in C(|C| = n) in a query image x_q .



Support examples

ICMLA 2021 - Experience feedback using Representation Learning for FSOD on aerial images

Given support examples $\{(x_1, a_1), \dots, (x_{nk}, a_{nk})\}$ it consists in detecting all occurrences of classes in C(|C| = n) in a query image x_q .



ICMLA 2021 - Experience feedback using Representation Learning for FSOD on aerial images

2.1 Related work - Faster R-CNN for Object Detection

Faster R-CNN (Ren et al. 2015) is a 2-stages approach for Object Detection

- Backbone network: large CNN to extract features.
- Region Proposal Network (RPN): lightweight CNN that proposes boxes.
- Classification and regression head: MLP that predicts box coordinates and class.

Robust and well-performing architecture, extensively tested in literature.



Figure 1: Faster R-CNN architecture introduced by (Ren et al. 2015)

2.2 Related work - Few-Shot Learning and Prototypical Networks

Prototypical networks (Snell, Swersky, and Zemel 2017) have been introduced for Few-Shot Classification

- Learn an embedding function
- Compute prototypes vectors from available class examples
- Classify an image according to the distance between its representation and the prototypes

The embedding space is semantically organized: easy adaptation to new classes.



Figure 2: Diagram explaining the principle of Prototypical Networks (Snell, Swersky, and Zemel 2017)

3.1 Prototypical Faster R-CNN - Architecture

Main principle: integrate prototypical networks inside Faster R-CNN.

3.1 Prototypical Faster R-CNN - Architecture

Main principle: integrate prototypical networks inside Faster R-CNN. RPN: multi-class prototypes but only outputs objectness score (i.e. binary classification).

$$o_j = \max_{c \in C_i} \exp\left(rac{-d(z_j, p_c)^2}{2\sigma^2}
ight)$$



Figure 3: Prototypical Faster-RCNN architecture.

3.1 Prototypical Faster R-CNN - Architecture

Main principle: integrate prototypical networks inside Faster R-CNN.

RPN: multi-class prototypes but only outputs objectness score (i.e. binary classification). Classification head: prototypical networks attribute class scores to Rol extracted from RPN boxes (Karlinsky et al. 2019).



Figure 3: Prototypical Faster-RCNN architecture.

Class separation: classes are split into base classes C_{base} and novel classes C_{novel} before training.

Training is done episodically.

Algorithm 1: Training procedure

- 1: **for** *i* in range [1, *N_{ep}*] **do**
- 2: Randomly sample $C_{ep} \subset C_{base}$
- 3: Build a support set with k examples for each $c \in C_{ep}$ from the dataset
- 4: Compute prototypes from the support set
- 5: Sample a query set Q_{ep} containing all classes from C_{ep} from the dataset
- 6: Optimize the objective with Qep
- 7: end for

Region Proposal Network

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{reg}^{\text{R}}(\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{\text{R}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{b}}_{i}^{\text{R}}) &= \text{SmoothL1Loss}(\boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{\text{R}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{b}}_{i}^{\text{R}}), \\ \mathcal{L}_{obj}^{\text{R}}(\boldsymbol{o}_{i}, \hat{\boldsymbol{o}}_{i}) &= \hat{\boldsymbol{o}}_{i} \log(\boldsymbol{o}_{i}) + (1 - \hat{\boldsymbol{o}}_{i}) \log(1 - \boldsymbol{o}_{i}), \end{split}$$

 b_i^{H} box prediction from the RPN o_i objectness score from the RPN

Classification and regression head

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\textit{reg}}^{\text{H}}(b_{j}^{\text{H}}, \hat{b}_{j}^{\text{H}}) &= \text{SmoothL1Loss}(b_{j}^{\text{H}}, \hat{b}_{j}^{\text{H}}), \\ \mathcal{L}_{\textit{cls}}^{\text{H}}(c_{j}, \hat{c}_{j}) &= -\log(c_{j}). \end{split}$$

b^H_j box prediction from the head
 c_j classification scores from the head

The overall objective is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{reg}^{\mathsf{R}} + \mathcal{L}_{obj}^{\mathsf{R}} + \mathcal{L}_{reg}^{\mathsf{H}} + \mathcal{L}_{cls}^{\mathsf{H}}.$$

Experimental Protocol: Training with base classes and evaluation on novel classes.

- DOTA dataset (Xia et al. 2018): aerial images (16 classes, 200k objects)
- 2 distinct class splits
- Episodic evaluation with random support set
- No fine-tuning

	# Shots	1	3	5	10
Split A	Base classes	$\textbf{0.275} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	0.352 ± 0.02	$\textbf{0.390} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	0.384 ± 0.02
	Novel classes	0.047 ± 0.02	$\textbf{0.024} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.038} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.041} \pm \textbf{0.01}$
Split B	Base classes	$\textbf{0.415} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{0.392} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	0.434 ± 0.02	$\textbf{0.414} \pm \textbf{0.03}$
	Novel classes	$\textbf{0.08} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.101} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$\textbf{0.121} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.101} \pm \textbf{0.02}$

 Table 1: Mean average precision over 5 runs on DOTA dataset with 95% confidence interval.

 Results are given for two different base/novel classes split. Split A: [plane, ship, and tennis court], Split B: [harbor, helicopter, and soccer ball field] (only test classes are given).

4.2 Experimental Results - Embedding space visualization



(a) Before training

(b) After training

Figure 4: TSNE visualization on the embedding space, before and after training. Training organizes this space semantically and reduces the threadlike patterns representing close patches in the input image.

- $\circ~$ Faster R-CNN is not well suited for few-shot object detection
 - Low performance in RPN can cripple classification head.
 - Two-stages and anchors approaches bring unnecessary complexity.

- Faster R-CNN is not well suited for few-shot object detection
 - Low performance in RPN can cripple classification head.
 - Two-stages and anchors approaches bring unnecessary complexity.
 - ightarrow Change detection framework for one-stage w/o anchors, e.g. FCOS (Tian et al. 2019).

- Faster R-CNN is not well suited for few-shot object detection
 - Low performance in RPN can cripple classification head.
 - Two-stages and anchors approaches bring unnecessary complexity.
 - ightarrow Change detection framework for one-stage w/o anchors, e.g. FCOS (Tian et al. 2019).
- Support examples are unlikely optimal for a query image
 - Semantic information may be dominated by background within a patch.
 - Classification scores depend on background similarity between examples and the patch.

- Faster R-CNN is not well suited for few-shot object detection
 - Low performance in RPN can cripple classification head.
 - Two-stages and anchors approaches bring unnecessary complexity.
 - ightarrow Change detection framework for one-stage w/o anchors, e.g. FCOS (Tian et al. 2019).
- Support examples are unlikely optimal for a query image
 - Semantic information may be dominated by background within a patch.
 - Classification scores depend on background similarity between examples and the patch.
 - ightarrow Adapt prototypes to match query through an attention mechanism.

- Faster R-CNN is not well suited for few-shot object detection
 - Low performance in RPN can cripple classification head.
 - Two-stages and anchors approaches bring unnecessary complexity.
 - ightarrow Change detection framework for one-stage w/o anchors, e.g. FCOS (Tian et al. 2019).
- Support examples are unlikely optimal for a query image
 - Semantic information may be dominated by background within a patch.
 - Classification scores depend on background similarity between examples and the patch.
 - ightarrow Adapt prototypes to match query through an attention mechanism.

Thank you for your attention

Any questions 😮



https://pierlj.github.io

References i

_	
	Karlinsky, Leonid et al. (2019). "Repmet: Representative-based metric learning for classification and few-shot object
	detection". In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 5197–5206.
	Ren, Shaoqing et al. (2015). "Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks". In: Advances
	in neural information processing systems 28, pp. 91–99.
	Snell, Jake, Kevin Swersky, and Richard Zemel (2017). "Prototypical Networks for Few-shot Learning". In: Advances in Neural
	Information Processing Systems. Ed. by I. Guyon et al. Vol. 30. Curran Associates, Inc.
	Tian, Zhi et al. (2019). "Fcos: Fully convolutional one-stage object detection". In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International
	Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 9627–9636.
	Xia, Gui-Song et al. (2018). "DOTA: A large-scale dataset for object detection in aerial images". In: Proceedings of the IEEE
	Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 3974–3983.